PATENT

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Jerry E. Mann

Ser/ial No.: 08/843,162

MAY 2 2 2000

Group Art Unit: 3634

Filed: April 11, 1997

Examiner: Chin Shue, A

Attorney Docket No.: 515-001

For: PORTABLE TREESTAND WITH A RECLINING AND RETRACTABLE SEAT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

SIR:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action dated April 17, 2000, Applicant hereby provisionally elects, with traverse, Claims 33-36, 39-52 and 54-63 for examination as relating to species of the claimed invention including the means for attaching shown in Figs. 1, 8, 9, 12, and 17.

In the April 17, 2000, Office Action, the Examiner has required election of Claims relating to either the frame

FERTIELCATE UNDER that Ctfile colrected is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date Indicated Helo

David M. Hill (Name)

(Signature)

(Req. No.)

(Date)

affixing means as shown in Fig. 4/Fig. 22, or the attaching means depicted in Figs. 1, 8, 9, 12, and 17, stating that the affixing means and the attaching means are "patentably distinct species of the claimed invention."

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Commissioner may require restriction if two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application (35 U.S.C. §121). In the present case, however, the claimed subject matter outlines and claims several alternative embodiments for constructing the invention, and these different embodiments are not patentably distinct. In particular, the applicant submits that the means for affixing the frame and the means of attaching the seat to the frame are not patentably distinct species of the current invention, as they are merely alternative embodiments for its construction. Applicant's invention is for a novel tree stand recliner seat, which includes a frame for attachment to a tree stand. submits that the specific means by which the seat is attached to the frame is not patentably distinct from the = 1/specific means by which the frame is attached to the stand -- these are merely alternative ways to construct the present invention.

If the Examiner is aware of another way to make a tree

stand recliner seat as claimed, which is materially different from those set forth in Claims 33-36, 39-52 and 54-63, applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to substantiate his position in greater detail. Otherwise, it is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn, and each of Claims 33-64 presently pending in this application be examined. Early and favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 17, 2000

1

2

3

5

6

8

David M. Hill Reg. No. 46,170 Ward & Olivo 708 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 697-6262